

Sharing the Road

Understanding and Facilitating Mixed Traffic with Human-Driven and Autonomous Vehicles

Edited by Dengbo He, Xiaotong Sun, and Huan Yu

Sharing the Road

With the advancements in sensor and computation technologies, connected autonomous vehicle (CAV) services are being tested and deployed on public roads, sharing the road with human-driven vehicles (HVs). This brings challenges to traffic safety, due to uncertainty in HVs behaviors. To address these challenges, *Sharing the Road* offers solutions to create a safer world where HVs and AVs mix freely on our roads.

Presented from a team of researchers from different domains offering varying perspectives, this book systematically summarizes the issues and trends of mixed traffic, from the micro (such as vehicle algorithm design) to the macro (including traffic flow optimization and policy) perspectives. Customized car-following models describing the behaviors of HVs when interacting with CAVs and control algorithms optimizing the traffic flow in terms of traffic safety and efficiency are discussed. The title also summarizes approaches to model HVs' behaviors and case studies of real-life examples are provided, leaving the reader with a detailed vision of the future of a mixed-traffic world.

This title will appeal to professionals at any career stage in the fields of human factor engineering, traffic engineering, urban design, and vehicle engineering.



Sharing the Road

Understanding and Facilitating Mixed Traffic with Human-Driven and Autonomous Vehicles

Edited by Dengbo He, Xiaotong Sun, and Huan Yu



CRC Press is an imprint of the Taylor & Francis Group, an informa business Designed cover image: image credited to DedMityay [ShutterStock ID: 1739926457]

MATLAB® and Simulink® are trademarks of The MathWorks, Inc. and are used with permission. The MathWorks does not warrant the accuracy of the text or exercises in this book. This book's use or discussion of MATLAB® or Simulink® software or related products does not constitute endorsement or sponsorship by The MathWorks of a particular pedagogical approach or particular use of the MATLAB® and Simulink® software.

First edition published 2025 by CRC Press 2385 NW Executive Center Drive, Suite 320, Boca Raton FL 33431

and by CRC Press 4 Park Square, Milton Park, Abingdon, Oxon, OX14 4RN

CRC Press is an imprint of Taylor & Francis Group, LLC

© 2025 selection and editorial matter, Dengbo He, Xiaotong Sun, Huan Yu; individual chapters, the contributors

Reasonable efforts have been made to publish reliable data and information, but the author and publisher cannot assume responsibility for the validity of all materials or the consequences of their use. The authors and publishers have attempted to trace the copyright holders of all material reproduced in this publication and apologize to copyright holders if permission to publish in this form has not been obtained. If any copyright material has not been acknowledged please write and let us know so we may rectify in any future reprint.

Except as permitted under U.S. Copyright Law, no part of this book may be reprinted, reproduced, transmitted, or utilized in any form by any electronic, mechanical, or other means, now known or hereafter invented, including photocopying, microfilming, and recording, or in any information storage or retrieval system, without written permission from the publishers.

For permission to photocopy or use material electronically from this work, access www.copyright.com or contact the Copyright Clearance Center, Inc. (CCC), 222 Rosewood Drive, Danvers, MA 01923, 978-750-8400. For works that are not available on CCC please contact mpkbookspermissions@tandf.co.uk

Trademark notice: Product or corporate names may be trademarks or registered trademarks and are used only for identification and explanation without intent to infringe.

ISBN: 9781032639406 (hbk) ISBN: 9781032674681 (pbk) ISBN: 9781032674667 (ebk)

DOI: 10.1201/9781032674667

Typeset in Times by Newgen Publishing UK

Contents

About the E	gementsvii ditorsix ributorsxi
Chapter 1	Introduction1 Dengbo He, Huan Yu, and Xiaotong Sun
Chapter 2	Empirical Research for Mixed Traffic Research
Chapter 3	Tools for Micro Mixed Traffic Research 30 Meixin Zhu
Chapter 4	Micro–Macro Mixed Traffic Flow Modeling
Chapter 5	Safety of Mixed Traffic: Evidence from Empirical Studies
Chapter 6	Environmental Impact of the Mixed Traffic and Countermeasures
Chapter 7	Difference Evaluation on Different Car-Following Scenarios under Mixed Traffic Flow
	Yang Liu, Dengbo He, Xiaoyu Zhou, and Jiahao Zhang

Chapter 8	Case Study: Analysis of Mixed Traffic Flow112
	Jian Rong, Yi Wang, Chenjing Zhou, and Yue Chen
Chapter 9	Case Study: Mixed Traffic with Connected and Automated Vehicle Scenario in Southern California132
	Brian Yueshuai He, Qinhua Jiang, and Jiaqi Ma
Index	

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (no. 52202425) and the Nansha District Key Area S&T Scheme, Science and Technology Bureau of Nansha District (no. 2023ZD006).



About the Editors

Dengbo He received his Bachelor's degree from Hunan University in 2012, MS degree from Shanghai Jiao Tong University in 2016, and PhD degree from the University of Toronto in 2020. He worked as a postdoc research fellow at the University of Toronto from 2020 to 2021 and is currently an Assistant Professor in Intelligent Transportation Thrust and Robotics and Autonomous Systems Thrust, at the Hong Kong University of Science and Technology (HKUST) (Guangzhou). His research focuses on human factors, driver behavior, and the interactions between driving automation and human drivers.

Xiaotong Sun is an assistant professor in the Intelligent Transportation Thrust and an affiliated assistant professor in the Civil and Environmental Engineering Department at the Hong Kong University of Science and Technology. Before joining HKUST, she was a postdoc research fellow at the University of Michigan, Ann Arbor. She received her PhD in Civil Engineering from the University of Michigan in July 2020.

Huan Yu is an Assistant Professor in the Intelligent Transportation Thrust of the Systems Hub, and an affiliated Assistant Professor in the Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering at the Hong Kong University of Science and Technology (HKUST). Yu received her BEng degree in Aerospace Engineering from Honor School (Elite program) of Northwestern Polytechnical University, and the MSc and PhD degrees in Aerospace Engineering from the Department of Mechanical and Aerospace Engineering, University of California, San Diego. She was a visiting scholar at University of California, Berkeley in 2018 and Massachusetts Institute of Technology in 2019. She was a postdoc researcher at University of California, San Diego before joining the HKUST (Guangzhou) in 2021.



Contributors

Dengbo He

Thrust of Intelligent Transportation The Hong Kong University of Science and Technology (Guangzhou) Guangzhou, China

Chunxi Huang

School of Design Hunan University Changsha, China and Thrust of Robotics and Autonomous Systems The Hong Kong University of Science and Technology (Guangzhou) Guangzhou, China

Qinhua Jiang

Department of Civil and Environmenta Engineering, UCLA Mobility Lab University of California at Los Angeles Los Angeles, CA, USA

Tianhao Li

Thrust of Intelligent Transportation The Hong Kong University of Science and Technology (Guangzhou) Guangzhou, China

Yang Liu

Thrust of Intelligent Transportation The Hong Kong University of Science and Technology (Guangzhou) Guangzhou, China

Jiaqi Ma

Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering, UCLA Mobility Lab University of California at Los Angeles Los Angeles, CA, USA

Jian Rong

School of Civil Engineering and Transportation Guangzhou University Guangzhou, China

Xiaotong Sun

Thrust of Intelligent Transportation The Hong Kong University of Science and Technology (Guangzhou) Guangzhou, China

Ran Tu

School of Transportation Southeast University Nanjing, China

Yi Wang

School of Civil Engineering and Transportation Guangzhou University Guangzhou, China

Shan Xue

School of Transportation Southeast University Nanjing, China

Huan Yu

Thrust of Intelligent Transportation The Hong Kong University of Science and Technology (Guangzhou) Guangzhou, China

Chen Yue

School of Civil Engineering and Transportation Guangzhou University Guangzhou, China

Brian Yueshuai He

Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering, UCLA Mobility Lab University of California at Los Angeles Los Angeles, CA, USA

Jiahao Zhang

Thrust of Intelligent Transportation The Hong Kong University of Science and Technology (Guangzhou) Guangzhou, China

Chenjing Zhou

School of Civil Engineering and Transportation Guangzhou University Guangzhou, China

Xiaoyu Zhou

Thrust of Intelligent Transportation The Hong Kong University of Science and Technology (Guangzhou) Guangzhou, China

Meixin Zhu

Thrust of Intelligent Transportation The Hong Kong University of Science and Technology (Guangzhou) Guangzhou, China

1 Introduction

Dengbo He, Huan Yu, and Xiaotong Sun

1.1 WHAT IS MIXED TRAFFIC

Traditionally, the term "mixed traffic" refers to the heterogeneous traffic flow containing various vehicles—either motorized or non-motorized (Hidayati et al., 2014). In recent years, with the advancement of autonomous driving technologies and communication technologies, fully autonomous vehicles (AVs) or vehicles with some level of driving automation have been gradually entering the market. However, before they saturate the market, AVs and human-driven vehicles (HDVs) will inevitably have to share the road, leading to the traffic that consists of both AVs and HDVs. Being different from the traditional mixed traffic that consists of human road agents, the coexistence of both AVs and HDVs on the road can be more complex, given the subtle relationships between human users and automation (e.g., Madhavan & Wiegmann, 2007). In the current book, we will mainly focus on the traffic stream of AVs and HDVs, and frame such traffic stream as **mixed traffic** throughout the book.

1.2 THE RATIONALE OF THIS BOOK

Introducing AVs into the traffic flow brings several challenges and opportunities to traffic management and control. Although researchers in past decades have explored a wide range of traffic modeling and control topics, including the impact of new technologies (e.g., artificial intelligence, Hu et al., 2023), the introduction of AVs in the traffic flow can be more dramatic. Some key points are outlined here below:

• AVs are still less than ideal and have different characteristics compared to HDVs. Although driving automation technologies have been evolving dramatically and the crash rates of AVs have been decreasing in recent years (Zhou et al., 2024), in the foreseeable future, driving automation may perform differently compared to human drivers. Thus, the characteristics of AVs can impact traffic flow and traffic management. However, the AV-related data remains sparse at this stage. Hence, on the one hand, it is necessary to review the approaches taken by existing research that focused on mixed traffic and inform future research directions. On the other hand, it is also necessary to summarize the characteristics of the AVs in mixed traffic to inform future research.

- The human drivers' adaptation to AVs needs to be considered. It has been widely acknowledged that human operators may adapt their behaviors when interacting or cooperating with automation (Lee & Moray, 1994). Human road users may exhibit different strategies when interacting with AVs versus interacting with other HDVs. Hence, when modeling the mixed traffic, it is necessary to consider the behavioral changes of the HDVs. This book will review some of the approaches that have been found to be effective in modeling behavioral changes and discuss some of the behavioral changes that have already been recognized among human road users in mixed traffic.
- Human road agents' attitudes and acceptance of AVs matter to mixed traffic. Although AVs can be fully rational and designed to modulate traffic for specific purposes, the realization of these benefits depends on the design of AVs, the users' or potential users' acceptance/attitudes toward the AVs, and the correct modeling of the human-AV interactions. In this case, the research in mixed traffic also needs to take the road users' heterogeneity into consideration and model such heterogeneity when designing the policies or traffic control strategies. This is a relatively new perspective for traffic research, and we will also briefly discuss the approaches and findings in this direction.

1.3 CHAPTERS OVERVIEW

In **Chapter 2**, we will briefly review the empirical research approaches that have been used or can be used in mixed traffic research for data collection. The pros and cons of different research approaches will be discussed.

In **Chapter 3**, we will mainly discuss the tools for microscopic mixed traffic simulation, including data-driven and model-based approaches.

In **Chapter 4**, instead of focusing on micro driver behaviors, we provide more details regarding the traffic flow modeling in mixed traffic.

Chapter 5 will further zoom out and compare macroscopic and microscopic traffic flow models in artificial and mixed traffic scenarios, highlighting their differences and applications. It also discusses simulation tools like VISSIM (www.ptvgroup.com/en/products/ptv-vissim), AIMSUN (www.aimsun.com), and SUMO (https://eclipse.dev/sumo/), which are used to model and evaluate these traffic conditions.

Chapter 6 will discuss more about the characteristics of mixed traffic from the traffic safety perspective of view and **Chapter 7** will discuss the mixed traffic from the environmental impact perspective of view.

Finally, we provide three case studies, covering the topic of driver behavior modeling in mixed traffic (**Case Study 1**), modeling of mixed traffic flow (**Case Study 2**), and users' acceptance of mixed traffic as well as its impact on vehicle miles traveled and emissions (**Case Study 3**).

REFERENCES

Hidayati, N., Montgomery, F., & Liu, R. (2014). Indonesian Traffic Conditions in Context Kondisi Lalu Lintas Indonesia dalam Konteks. http://publikasiilmiah.ums.ac.id/handle/ 11617/5174

- Hu, W. X., Ishihara, H., Chen, C., Shalaby, A., & Abdulhai, B. (2023). Deep Reinforcement Learning Two-Way Transit Signal Priority Algorithm for Optimizing Headway Adherence and Speed. *IEEE Transactions on Intelligent Transportation Systems*, 24(8), 7920–7931. https://doi.org/10.1109/TITS.2023.3266461
- Lee, J. D., & Moray, N. (1994). Trust, self-confidence, and operators' adaptation to automation. *International Journal of Human-Computer Studies*, 40(1), 153–184. https://doi.org/ 10.1006/ijhc.1994.1007
- Madhavan, P., & Wiegmann, D. A. (2007). Similarities and differences between human–human and human–automation trust: An integrative review. *Theoretical Issues in Ergonomics Science*. https://doi.org/10.1080/14639220500337708
- Zhou, R., Zhang, G., Huang, H., Wei, Z., Zhou, H., Jin, J., Chang, F., & Chen, J. (2024). How would autonomous vehicles behave in real-world crash scenarios? *Accident Analysis & Prevention*, 202, 107572. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aap.2024.107572

Introduction

Hidayati, N ., Montgomery, F ., & Liu, R . (2014). Indonesian Traffic Conditions in Context Kondisi Lalu Lintas Indonesia dalam Konteks.

http://publikasiilmiah.ums.ac.id/handle/11617/5174

Hu, W. X., Ishihara, H., Chen, C., Shalaby, A., & Abdulhai, B. (2023). Deep Reinforcement Learning Two-Way Transit Signal Priority Algorithm for Optimizing Headway Adherence and Speed. IEEE Transactions on Intelligent Transportation Systems, *24* (8), 7920–7931. https://doi.org/10.1109/TITS.2023.3266461

Lee, J. D. , & Moray, N . (1994). Trust, self-confidence, and operators' adaptation to automation. International Journal of Human-Computer Studies, 40 (1), 153–184.

https://doi.org/10.1006/ijhc.1994.1007

Madhavan, P., & Wiegmann, D. A. (2007). Similarities and differences between human–human and human–automation trust: An integrative review. Theoretical Issues in Ergonomics Science. https://doi.org/10.1080/14639220500337708

Zhou, R ., Zhang, G ., Huang, H ., Wei, Z ., Zhou, H ., Jin, J ., Chang, F ., & Chen, J . (2024). How would autonomous vehicles behave in real-world crash scenarios? Accident Analysis & Prevention, 202, 107572. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aap.2024.107572

Empirical Research for Mixed Traffic Research

Ariansyah, D., Pardamean, B., & Caruso, G. (2023). The effect of visual advanced driver assistance systems on a following human driver in a mixed-traffic condition. Procedia Computer Science, 216, 221–229. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.procs.2022.12.130

Baskaran, S., Fang, S., & Jiang, S. (2017). Spatiotemporal visualization of traffic paths using color space time curve. In 2017 IEEE International Conference on Big Data (Big Data), 3398–3405. https://doi.org/10.1109/BigData.2017.8258325

Basu, S., & Saha, P. (2022). Evaluation of risk factors for road accidents under mixed traffic: Case study on Indian highways. IATSS Research, 46(4), 559–573.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.iatssr.2022.09.004

Bhujel, N., & Yau, W.-Y. (2023). Disentangling crowd interactions for pedestrians trajectory prediction. IEEE Robotics and Automation Letters, 8(5), 3078–3085.

https://doi.org/10.1109/LRA.2023.3262420

Bobak, C. A., Barr, P. J., & O'Malley, A. J. (2018). Estimation of an inter-rater intra-class correlation coefficient that overcomes common assumption violations in the assessment of health measurement scales. BMC Medical Research Methodology, 18(1), 93. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12874-018-0550-6

Breunig, M. M., Kriegel, H.-P., Ng, R. T., & Sander, J. (2000). LOF: Identifying density-based local outliers. In Proceedings of the 2000 ACM SIGMOD International Conference on Management of Data, 93–104. https://doi.org/10.1145/342009.335388

Chen, C., Ding, Y., Guo, S., & Wang, Y. (2020). DAVT: An error-bounded vehicle trajectory data representation and compression framework. IEEE Transactions on Vehicular Technology, 69(10), 10606–10618. https://doi.org/10.1109/TVT.2020.3015214

Chen, K., Ge, R., Qiu, H., Ai-Rfou, R., Qi, C. R., Zhou, X., Yang, Z., Ettinger, S., Sun, P., Leng, Z., Baniodeh, M., Bogun, I., Wang, W., Tan, M., & Anguelov, D. (2024). WOMD-LiDAR: Raw sensor dataset benchmark for motion forecasting (arXiv:2304.03834). arXiv. https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2304.03834

Chen, Y ., Chen, S ., Xiao, T ., Zhang, S ., Hou, Q ., & Zheng, N . (2020). Mixed test environment-based vehicle-in-the-loop validation—a new testing approach for autonomous vehicles. In 2020 IEEE Intelligent Vehicles Symposium (IV), 1283–1289. https://doi.org/10.1109/IV47402.2020.9304658

Chityala, S., Sobanjo, J. O., Erman Ozguven, E., Sando, T., & Twumasi-Boakye, R. (2020). Driver behavior at a freeway merge to mixed traffic of conventional and connected autonomous vehicles. Transportation Research Record: Journal of the Transportation Research Board, 2674(11). https://trid.trb.org/View/1739354

Dahlbäck, N., Jönsson, A., & Ahrenberg, L. (1993). Wizard of Oz studies—why and how. Knowledge-Based Systems, 6(4), 258–266. https://doi.org/10.1016/0950-7051(93)90017-N de Boor, C. (1968). On the convergence of odd-degree spline interpolation. Journal of Approximation Theory, 1(4), 452–463. https://doi.org/10.1016/0021-9045(68)90033-6 de Boor, C., & Ron, A. (1990). On multivariate polynomial interpolation. Constructive Approximation, 6(3), 287–302. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01890412

Detjen, H., Pfleging, B., & Schneegass, S. (2020). A Wizard of Oz field study to understand non-driving-related activities, trust, and acceptance of automated vehicles. In 12th International Conference on Automotive User Interfaces and Interactive Vehicular Applications, 19–29. https://doi.org/10.1145/3409120.3410662

Eenink, R., Barnard, Y., Baumann, M., Augros, X., & Utesch, F. (2014, April). UDRIVE: The European naturalistic driving study [Proceedings Paper]. TRA 2014. In Proceedings of Transport Research Arena; IFSTTAR.

http://tra2014.traconference.eu/papers/pdfs/TRA2014_Fpaper_19523.pdf

Fernandes, A., Helawar, R., Lokesh, R., Tari, T., & Shahapurkar, A. V. (2014). Determination of stress using blood pressure and galvanic skin response. In 2014 International Conference on Communication and Network Technologies, 165–168.

https://doi.org/10.1109/CNT.2014.7062747

Fuest, T., Feierle, A., Schmidt, E., & Bengler, K. (2020). Effects of marking automated vehicles on human drivers on highways. Information, 11(6), 286. https://doi.org/10.3390/info11060286

Ghorbani, H. (2019). Mahalanobis distance and its application for detecting multivariate outliers. Facta Universitatis, Series: Mathematics and Informatics, 34(3), 583–595.

https://doi.org/10.22190/FUMI1903583G

Gu, Z., Li, Z., Di, X., & Shi, R. (2020). An LSTM-based autonomous driving model using a Waymo Open Dataset. Applied Sciences, 10(6), 2046. https://doi.org/10.3390/app10062046 Gubrium, J. F., & Holstein, J. A. (2002). Handbook of Interview Research: Context and Method. SAGE.

Guo, F., Han, S., & Hankey, J. (2022). The Shanghai Naturalistic Driving Study (SHNDS). Hallmark, S. L., Tyner, S., Oneyear, N., Carney, C., & McGehee, D. (2015). Evaluation of driving behavior on rural 2-lane curves using the SHRP 2 naturalistic driving study data. Journal of Safety Research, 54, 17–27. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsr.2015.06.017

He, D., Kanaan, D., & Donmez, B. (2021). In-vehicle displays to support driver anticipation of traffic conflicts in automated vehicles. Accident Analysis & Prevention, 149, 105842. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aap.2020.105842

Hodge, V., & Austin, J. (2004). A survey of outlier detection methodologies. Artificial Intelligence Review, 22(2), 85–126. https://doi.org/10.1023/B:AIRE.0000045502.10941.a9 Hong, Y., Min, J., King, C. B., & Meeker, W. Q. (2021). Reliability analysis of artificial intelligence systems using recurrent events data from autonomous vehicles. arXiv (arXiv:2102.01740). http://arxiv.org/abs/2102.01740

Hua, Q ., Jin, L ., Jiang, Y ., Guo, B ., & Xie, X . (2021). Effect of cognitive distraction on physiological measures and driving performance in traditional and mixed traffic environments. Journal of Advanced Transportation, 2021, 1–17. https://doi.org/10.1155/2021/6739071 Huang, Y ., Ding, H ., Zhang, Y ., Wang, H ., Cao, D ., Xu, N ., & Hu, C . (2020). A motion planning and tracking framework for autonomous vehicles based on artificial potential field elaborated resistance network approach. IEEE Transactions on Industrial Electronics, 67(2), 1376–1386. https://doi.org/10.1109/TIE.2019.2898599

Ihejirika, R. C. , Thakore, R. V. , Sathiyakumar, V., Ehrenfeld, J. M. , Obremskey, W. T. , & Sethi, M. K. (2015). An assessment of the inter-rater reliability of the ASA physical status score in the orthopaedic trauma population. Injury, 46(4), 542–546.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.injury.2014.02.039

Janatabadi, F., & Ermagun, A. (2022). Empirical evidence of bias in public acceptance of autonomous vehicles. Transportation Research Part F: Traffic Psychology and Behaviour, 84, 330–347. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.trf.2021.12.005

Jiang, L., Ji, J., Ren, Y., Wang, H., & Huang, Y. (2020). Risk modeling and quantification of a platoon in mixed traffic based on the mass-spring-Damper Model. Journal of Advanced Transportation, 2020, Article ID 7475682. https://trid.trb.org/View/1722395

Kalantari, A. H., Yang, Y., Garcia de Pedro, J., Lee, Y. M., Horrobin, A., Solernou, A., Holmes, C., Merat, N., & Markkula, G. (2023). Who goes first? A distributed simulator study of vehicle-pedestrian interaction. Accident; Analysis and Prevention, 186, 107050. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aap.2023.107050 Kesten, R., Usman, M., Houston, J., & Pandya, T. (n.d.). Lyft level 5 AV dataset 2019. Retrieved June 15, 2024, from http://archive.org/details/lyftlevel5avdataset2019 Khajeh Hosseini, M., Talebpour, A., Devunuri, S., & Hamdar, S. H. (2022). An unsupervised learning framework for detecting adaptive cruise control operated vehicles in a vehicle trajectory data. Expert Systems with Applications, 208, 118060. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eswa.2022.118060

Kim, H.-G., Cheon, E.-J., Bai, D.-S., Lee, Y. H., & Koo, B.-H. (2018). Stress and heart rate variability: A meta-analysis and review of the literature. Psychiatry Investigation, 15(3),

235-245. https://doi.org/10.30773/pi.2017.08.17

Klauer, C., Pearson, J., & Hankey, J. (2018). An overview of the Canada naturalistic driving and Canada truck naturalistic driving studies. In 7th International Symposium on Naturalistic Driving Research Inn at Virginia Tech, Blacksburg, VA.

Klingner, J., Kumar, R., & Hanrahan, P. (2008). Measuring the task-evoked pupillary response with a remote eye tracker. In Proceedings of the 2008 Symposium on Eye Tracking Research & Applications, 69–72. https://doi.org/10.1145/1344471.1344489

Krajewski, R., Bock, J., Kloeker, L., & Eckstein, L. (2018). The highD dataset: A drone dataset of naturalistic vehicle trajectories on German highways for validation of highly automated driving systems. In 2018 21st International Conference on Intelligent Transportation Systems (ITSC), 2118–2125. https://doi.org/10.1109/ITSC.2018.8569552

Kuffel, P., Kent, K., & Irwin, G. (1997). The implementation and effectiveness of linear interpolation within digital simulation. International Journal of Electrical Power & Energy Systems, 19(4), 221–227. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0142-0615(96)00045-2

Lee, S. E., Simons-Morton, B. G., Klauer, S. E., Ouimet, M. C., & Dingus, T. A. (2011). Naturalistic assessment of novice teenage crash experience. Accident Analysis & Prevention, 43(4), 1472–1479. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aap.2011.02.026

Li, G., Jiao, Y., Knoop, V. L., Calvert, S. C., & Van Lint, J. W. C. (2023). Large Car-following Data Based on Lyft level-5 Open Dataset: Following autonomous vehicles vs. human-driven vehicles. In 2023 IEEE 26th International Conference on Intelligent Transportation Systems (ITSC), 5818–5823. https://doi.org/10.1109/ITSC57777.2023.10422574

Li, P., Li, Y., Yao, Y., Wu, C., Nie, B., & Li, S. E. (2022). Sensitivity of electrodermal activity features for driver arousal measurement in cognitive load: The application in automated driving systems. IEEE Transactions on Intelligent Transportation Systems, 23(9), 14954–14967. https://doi.org/10.1109/TITS.2021.3135266

Li, T., Han, X., Ma, J., Ramos, M., & Lee, C. (2023). Operational safety of automated and human driving in mixed traffic environments: A perspective of car-following behavior. Proceedings of the Institution of Mechanical Engineers, Part O: Journal of Risk and Reliability, 237(2), 355–366. https://doi.org/10.1177/1748006X211050696

Liu, Y., Abediasl, H., Ansari, A., & Shahbakhti, M. (2023). Characterizing driving behavior and link to fuel consumption for university campus shuttle minibuses. Canadian Society for Mechanical Engineering (CSME) / Computational Fluid Dynamics Society of Canada (CFD Canada). International Congress (2023: Université de Sherbrooke, Québec), 6, ID 212. https://savoirs.usherbrooke.ca/handle/11143/21171

Llorca, D. F., Sotelo, M. A., Sánchez, S., Ocaña, M., Rodríguez-Ascariz, J. M., & García-Garrido, M. A. (2010). Traffic data collection for floating car data enhancement in V2I networks. EURASIP Journal on Advances in Signal Processing, 2010(1), 719294. https://doi.org/10.1155/2010/719294

Lu, J., Hossain, S., Sheng, W., & Bai, H. (2023). Cooperative driving in mixed traffic of manned and unmanned vehicles based on human driving behavior understanding. In 2023 IEEE International Conference on Robotics and Automation (ICRA), 3532–3538. https://doi.org/10.1109/ICRA48891.2023.10160282

Lu, J., Stracener, R., Sheng, W., Bai, H., & Hossain, S. (2022). Development of a research testbed for cooperative driving in mixed traffic of human-driven and autonomous vehicles. In 2022 IEEE/RSJ International Conference on Intelligent Robots and Systems (IROS), 11403–11408. https://doi.org/10.1109/IROS47612.2022.9981436

Lu, Q.-L. , Yang, K., & Antoniou, C. (2021). Crash risk analysis for the mixed traffic flow with human-driven and connected and autonomous vehicles. In 2021 IEEE International Intelligent Transportation Systems Conference (ITSC), 1233–1238.

https://doi.org/10.1109/ITSC48978.2021.9564897

Luque, F., Armada, V., Piovano, L., Jurado-Barba, R., & Santamaría, A. (2024). Understanding pedestrian cognition workload in traffic environments using virtual reality and electroencephalography. Electronics, 13(8), 1453. https://doi.org/10.3390/electronics13081453 Ma, Z., & Zhang, Y. (2024). Driver-automated vehicle interaction in mixed traffic: Types of interaction and drivers' driving styles. Human Factors: The Journal of the Human Factors and Ergonomics Society, 66(2), 544–561. https://doi.org/10.1177/00187208221088358 Makrigiorgos, A., Shafti, A., Harston, A., Gerard, J., & Faisal, A. A. (2019). Human visual attention prediction boosts learning & performance of autonomous driving agents. arXiv (arXiv:1909.05003). https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.1909.05003

Maydeu-Olivares, A., & Millsap, R. E. (2009). The SAGE Handbook of Quantitative Methods in Psychology (pp. 1–800). R. E. Millsap (ed.). SAGE. https://doi.org/10.4135/9780857020994 Miller, L., Koniakowsky, I. M., Kraus, J., & Baumann, M. (2022). The impact of expectations about automated and manual vehicles on drivers' behavior: Insights from a mixed traffic driving simulator study. In Proceedings of the 14th International Conference on Automotive User Interfaces and Interactive Vehicular Applications, 150–161. https://doi.org/10.1145/3543174.3546837

Miller, L., Kraus, J., Koniakowsky, I., Pichen, J., & Baumann, M. (2023). Learning in mixed traffic: Drivers' adaptation to ambiguous communication depending on their expectations toward automated and manual vehicles. International Journal of Human–Computer Interaction, 39(16), 3268–3287. https://doi.org/10.1080/10447318.2023.2215097

motional . (2023). nuScenes. Nuscenes. www.nuscenes.org/

National Highway Traffic Safety Administration . (2013). Motor Vehicle Traffic Crashes as a Leading Cause of Death in the United States, 2008 and 2009. Annals of Emergency Medicine, 61(4), 484. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.annemergmed.2013.02.009

National Research Council (U.S.) (Ed.). (2001). Strategic Highway Research: Saving Lives, Reducing Congestion, Improving Quality of Life. Transportation Research Board, National Research Council, National Academy Press.

Olsson, N . (2023). A validation study comparing performance in a low-fidelity train-driving simulator with actual train driving performance. Transportation Research Part F: Traffic Psychology and Behaviour, 97, 109–122. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.trf.2023.07.007

Papadimitriou, E ., Lassarre, S ., & Yannis, G . (2016). Introducing human factors in pedestrian crossing behaviour models. Transportation Research Part F: Traffic Psychology and Behaviour, 36, 69–82. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.trf.2015.11.003

Pillai, A . (2017). Virtual reality based study to analyse pedestrian attitude towards autonomous vehicles. Master's thesis, Master's programme in ICT Innovation.

https://aaltodoc.aalto.fi/handle/123456789/28563

Prato, C. G., Bekhor, S., & Pronello, C. (2005). Methodology for exploratory analysis of latent factors influencing drivers' behavior. Transportation Research Record, 1926(1), 115–125. https://doi.org/10.1177/0361198105192600114

Rao, S., Ghosh, S., Rodriguez, G. P., Röggla, T., Cesar, P., & El Ali, A. (2023). From video to hybrid simulator: Exploring affective responses toward non-verbal pedestrian crossing actions using camera and physiological sensors. International Journal of Human–Computer Interaction, 39(16), 3213–3236. https://doi.org/10.1080/10447318.2023.2224955

Rodríguez Palmeiro, A., van der Kint, S., Vissers, L., Farah, H., de Winter, J. C. F., & Hagenzieker, M. (2018). Interaction between pedestrians and automated vehicles: A Wizard of Oz experiment. Transportation Research Part F: Traffic Psychology and Behaviour, 58, 1005–1020. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.trf.2018.07.020

Samanta, S., Mukherjee, A., Ashour, A. S., Dey, N., Tavares, J. M. R. S., Abdessalem Karâa, W. B., Taiar, R., Azar, A. T., & Hassanien, A. E. (2018). Log transform based optimal image enhancement using firefly algorithm for autonomous mini unmanned aerial vehicle: An application of aerial photography. International Journal of Image and Graphics, 18(04), 1850019. https://doi.org/10.1142/S0219467818500195

Sandbhor, S., & Chaphalkar, N. B. (2019). Impact of outlier detection on neural networks based property value prediction. In S. C. Satapathy , V. Bhateja , R. Somanah , X.-S. Yang , & R. Senkerik (Eds.), Information Systems Design and Intelligent Applications (pp. 481–495). Springer. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-13-3329-3_45

Sinha, A., Chand, S., Vu, V., Chen, H., & Dixit, V. (2021). Crash and disengagement data of autonomous vehicles on public roads in California. Scientific Data, 8(1), 298. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41597-021-01083-7 Sivak, M . (1998). The information that drivers use: Is it indeed 90 percent visual? The UMTRI Research Review, 29(1), 1.

Stange, V., Kühn, M., & Vollrath, M. (2022a). Manual drivers' experience and driving behavior in repeated interactions with automated Level 3 vehicles in mixed traffic on the highway. Transportation Research Part F: Traffic Psychology and Behaviour, 87, 426–443. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.trf.2022.04.019

Stange, V ., Kühn, M ., & Vollrath, M . (2022b). Safety at first sight?—Manual drivers' experience and driving behavior at first contact with Level 3 vehicles in mixed traffic on the highway. Transportation Research Part F: Traffic Psychology and Behaviour, 87, 327–346. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.trf.2022.04.004

Stanton, N. A., Salmon, P. M., Rafferty, L. A., Walker, G. H., Baber, C., & Jenkins, D. P. (2017). Human Factors Methods: A Practical Guide for Engineering and Design (2nd ed.). CRC Press. https://doi.org/10.1201/9781315587394

Steve, S . (2011). Intelligent Transportation Systems—Integrated Vehicle-Based Safety Systems (IVBSS). Washington, DC: Office of the Assistant Secretary for Research and Technology. https://its.dot.gov/research_archives/ivbss/index.htm

Taelman, J., Vandeput, S., Spaepen, A., & Van Huffel, S. (2009). Influence of mental stress on heart rate and heart rate variability. In J. Vander Sloten , P. Verdonck , M. Nyssen , & J. Haueisen (Eds.), 4th European Conference of the International Federation for Medical and Biological Engineering (pp. 1366–1369). Springer. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-540-89208-3_324

Tan, Z., Dai, N., Su, Y., Zhang, R., Li, Y., Wu, D., & Li, S. (2022). Human–machine interaction in intelligent and connected vehicles: A review of status quo, issues, and opportunities. IEEE Transactions on Intelligent Transportation Systems, 23(9), 13954–13975. https://doi.org/10.1109/TITS.2021.3127217

Tavakol, M., & Dennick, R. (2011). Making sense of Cronbach's alpha. International Journal of Medical Education, 2, 53–55. https://doi.org/10.5116/ijme.4dfb.8dfd

Thompson, W. R. (1947). Use of moving averages and interpolation to estimate medianeffective dose: I. Fundamental formulas, estimation of error, and relation to other methods. Bacteriological Reviews, 11(2), 115–145. https://doi.org/10.1128/br.11.2.115-145.1947 Transport Research Center . (2024). AV Crashes | Autonomous Vehicle Crashes. Autonomous Vehicle Crashes, www.avcrashes.net/

U.S. Department of Transportation Federal Highway Administration . (n.d.). Next generation simulation (NGSIM) open data. Next generation simulation (NGSIM) open data. Retrieved June 15, 2024, from https://datahub.transportation.gov/stories/s/Next-Generation-Simulation-NGSIM-Open-Data/i5zb-xe34/

van Beers, W. C. M., & Kleijnen, J. P. C. (2004). Kriging interpolation in simulation: A survey. In Proceedings of the 2004 Winter Simulation Conference, 2004, 1, 121. https://doi.org/10.1109/WSC.2004.1371308

Wen, X., Jian, S., & He, D. (2023). Modeling the effects of autonomous vehicles on human driver car-Following Behaviors Using Inverse Reinforcement Learning. IEEE Transactions on Intelligent Transportation Systems, 24(12), 13903–13915.

https://doi.org/10.1109/TITS.2023.3298150

Williamson, A., Grzebieta, R., Eusebio, J. E., Zheng, W. Y., Wall, J., Charlton, J., Lenne, M., Haley, J., Barnes, B., Rakotonirainy, A., Woolley, J., Senserrick, T., Young, K., Haworth, N., Regan, M., Cockfield, S., Healy, D., Cavallo, A., Di Stefano, M., ... Cornish, M. (2015). The Australian Naturalistic Driving Study: From beginnings to launch. In I. Cameron , N. Haworth , & L. McIntosh (Eds.), Proceedings of the 2015 Australasian Road Safety Conference (ARSC2015) (pp. 1–7). Australasian College of Road Safety (ACRS).

http://acrs.org.au/files/papers/arsc/2015/WilliamsonA%20277%20The%20Australian%20naturalistic%20driver%20study.pdf

Wu, M., Wang, N., & Yuen, K. F. (2023). Can autonomy level and anthropomorphic characteristics affect public acceptance and trust towards shared autonomous vehicles? Technological Forecasting and Social Change, 189, 122384. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.techfore.2023.122384

Xu, S. S., Mak, M.-W., & Cheung, C.-C. (2019). Towards end-to-end ECG classification with raw signal extraction and deep neural networks. IEEE Journal of Biomedical and Health Informatics, 23(4), 1574–1584. https://doi.org/10.1109/JBHI.2018.2871510

Yan, Z., Chakraborty, D., Parent, C., Spaccapietra, S., & Aberer, K. (2011). SeMiTri: A framework for semantic annotation of heterogeneous trajectories. In Proceedings of the 14th International Conference on Extending Database Technology (pp. 259–270). Association for Computing Machinery. https://doi.org/10.1145/1951365.1951398

Yang, J., Rahardja, S., & Fränti, P. (2019). Outlier detection: How to threshold outlier scores? In Proceedings of the International Conference on Artificial Intelligence, Information Processing and Cloud Computing (pp. 1–6). https://doi.org/10.1145/3371425.3371427

Zhang, J., Chen, Z., Liu, W., Ding, P., & Wu, Q. (2021). A field study of work type influence on air traffic controllers' fatigue based on data-driven PERCLOS detection. International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health, 18(22), 11937.

https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph182211937

Zhang, T., Tao, D., Qu, X., Zhang, X., Zeng, J., Zhu, H., & Zhu, H. (2020). Automated vehicle acceptance in China: Social influence and initial trust are key determinants. Transportation Research Part C: Emerging Technologies, 112, 220–233. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.trc.2020.01.027

Zhao, X., Wang, Z., Xu, Z., Wang, Y., Li, X., & Qu, X. (2020). Field experiments on longitudinal characteristics of human driver behavior following an autonomous vehicle. Transportation Research Part C: Emerging Technologies, 114, 205–224. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.trc.2020.02.018

Zhou, A., Liu, Y., Tenenboim, E., Agrawal, S., & Peeta, S. (2023). Car-following behavior of human-driven vehicles in mixed-flow traffic: A driving simulator study. IEEE Transactions on Intelligent Vehicles, 8(4), 2661–2673. https://doi.org/10.1109/TIV.2023.3257962

Zhou, M., Qu, X., & Li, X. (2017). A recurrent neural network based microscopic car following model to predict traffic oscillation. Transportation Research Part C: Emerging Technologies, 84, 245–264. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.trc.2017.08.027

Tools for Micro Mixed Traffic Research

Bando, M., Hasebe, K., Nakayama, A., Shibata, A., & Sugiyama, Y. (1995). Dynamical model of traffic congestion and numerical simulation. Physical Review E, 51(2), 1035. Brackstone, M., & McDonald, M. (1999). Car-following: A historical review. Transportation Research Part F: Traffic Psychology and Behaviour, 2(4), 181–196.

Cassidy, M. J. , & Rudjanakanoknad, J. (2005). Increasing the capacity of an isolated merge by metering its on-ramp. Transportation Research Part B: Methodological, 39(10), 896–913. Chen, D., Zhu, M., Yang, H., Wang, X., & Wang, Y. (2024). Data-driven traffic simulation: A comprehensive review. IEEE Transactions on Intelligent Vehicles, 9(4), 4730–4748. doi:10.1109/TIV.2024.3367919

Chovan, J. D., Tijerina, L., Alexander, G., & Hendricks, D. L. (1994). Examination of lane change crashes and potential IVHS countermeasures. Joint Program Office for Intelligent Transportation Systems. Report Number: DOT HS 808 071;DOT-VNTSC-NHTSA-93-2.

Cui, Y., Huang, S., Zhong, J., Liu, Z., Wang, Y., Sun, C., ... Khajepour, A. (2024). DriveLLM: Charting the path toward full autonomous driving with large language models. IEEE Transactions on Intelligent Vehicles, 9(1), 1450–1464.

Elman, J. L. (1991). Distributed representations, simple recurrent networks, and grammatical structure. Machine Learning, 7, 195–225.

Fukushima, K . (1980). Neocognitron: A self-organizing neural network model for a mechanism of pattern recognition unaffected by shift in position. Biological Cybernetics, 36(4), 193–202. Gazis, D. C. , Herman, R ., & Rothery, R. W. (1961). Nonlinear follow-the-leader models of traffic flow. Operations Research, 9(4), 545–567.

Gers, F. A. , Schmidhuber, J ., & Cummins, F . (2000). Learning to forget: Continual prediction with LSTM. Neural Computation, 12(10), 2451–2471.

Gipps, P. G. (1981). A behavioural car-following model for computer simulation. Transportation Research Part B: Methodological, 15(2), 105–111.

Gipps, P. G. (1986). A model for the structure of lane-changing decisions. Transportation Research Part B: Methodological, 20(5), 403–414.

Griffith, S., Subramanian, K., Scholz, J., Isbell, C. L., & Thomaz, A. L. (2013). Policy shaping: Integrating human feedback with reinforcement learning. *NIPS'13: Proceedings of the 26th International Conference on Neural Information Processing Systems – Volume 2.* Lake Tahoe, Nevada.

Helly, W . (1959). Simulation of Bottlenecks in Single-Lane Traffic Flow. In *Proceedings of the Symposium on Theory of Traffic Flow* (pp. 207–238). Research Laboratories, General Motors. Jiang, R ., Wu, Q ., & Zhu, Z . (2001). Full velocity difference model for a car-following theory. Physical Review E, 64(1), 017101.

Kesting, A., Treiber, M., & Helbing, D. (2007). General lane-changing model MOBIL for carfollowing models. Transportation Research Record, 1999(1), 86–94.

Moridpour, S ., Sarvi, M ., & Rose, G . (2010). Lane changing models: A critical review. Transportation Letters, 2(3), 157–173.

Olstam, J. J. , & Tapani, A . (2004). Comparison of Car-Following Models (Vol. 960). Sweden: Swedish National Road and Transport Research Institute Linköping.

Pande, A., & Abdel-Aty, M. (2006). Assessment of freeway traffic parameters leading to lanechange related collisions. Accident Analysis & Prevention, 38(5), 936–948.

Panwai, S., & Dia, H. (2007). Neural agent car-following models. *IEEE Transactions on Intelligent Transportation Systems*, 8(1), 60–70.

Pipes, L. A. (1953). An operational analysis of traffic dynamics. Journal of Applied Physics, 24(3), 274–281.

Rahman, M., Chowdhury, M., Xie, Y., & He, Y. (2013). Review of microscopic lane-changing models and future research opportunities. IEEE Transactions on Intelligent Transportation Systems, 14(4), 1942–1956.

Saifuzzaman, M., & Zheng, Z. (2014). Incorporating human-factors in car-following models: A review of recent developments and research needs. Transportation Research Part C: Emerging Technologies, 48, 379–403.

Sen, B., Smith, J. D., & Najm, W. G. (2003). Analysis of lane change crashes. No. HS-809 571. Department of Transportation. National Highway Traffic Safety Administration. Toledo, T. (2003). Integrating Driving Behavior Modeling. Massachusetts Institute of Technology.

Treiber, M., Hennecke, A., & Helbing, D. (2000). Congested traffic states in empirical observations and microscopic simulations. Physical Review E, 62(2), 1805.

Vaswani, A., Shazeer, N., Parmar, N., Uszkoreit, J., Jones, L., Gomez, A. N., ... Polosukhin, I. (2017). Attention is all you need. Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems, 30. Wiedemann, R. (1974). Simulation des strabenverkehrsflusses in schriftenreihe des tnstituts fir

verkehrswesen der universitiit karlsruhe.Karlsruhe, Germany: Universität Karlsruhe. Yunus, N. E., Razak, S. F. A., Yogarayan, S., & Abdullah, M. F. A. (2021). Lane changing

models: A short review. Paper presented at the 2021 IEEE 12th Control and System Graduate Research Colloquium (ICSGRC) (pp. 110–115). Shah Alam, Malaysia.

Zhu, M . (2022). Behavior Modeling and Motion Planning for Autonomous Driving using Artificial Intelligence. Doctoral dissertation.

Micro-Macro Mixed Traffic Flow Modeling

Aimsun . (2023, April 6). User documentation.

https://docs.aimsun.com/next/22.0.1/UsersManual/VehicleTypeEditing.html

An, G., & Talebpour, A. (2019, October). Lane-changing trajectory optimization to minimize traffic flow disturbance in a connected automated driving environment. In 2019 IEEE Intelligent Transportation Systems Conference (ITSC) (pp. 1794–1799). IEEE.

Axhausen, K. W. , Horni, A ., & Nagel, K . (2016). The Multi-Agent Transport Simulation MATSim (p. 618). Ubiquity Press.

Basu, C., Yang, Q., Hungerman, D., et al. (2017) Do you want your autonomous car to drive like you? In *Proceedings of the 2017 ACM/IEEE International Conference on Human-Robot Interaction*, pp. 417–425.

CarMaker . (n.d.). Ipg-Automotive.Com. https://ipg-automotive.com/cn/products-solutions/software/carmaker/

Casas, J., Ferrer, J. L., Garcia, D., Perarnau, J., & Torday, A. (2010). Traffic simulation with aimsun. Fundamentals of Traffic Simulation, 173–232.

Chai, C., & Wong, Y. D. (2015). Fuzzy cellular automata model for signalized intersections. Computer-Aided Civil and Infrastructure Engineering, 30(12), 951–964.

Chen, H., & Wang, J. (2019). Automated highway driving lane-changing decision based on driver dissatisfaction. Chinese Journal of Highway, 32(12), 1–9.

dSPACE . (2023, April 6). dSPACE ASM. www.dspace.com/en/pub/home.cfm Dupuis, M ., Strobl, M ., & Grezlikowski, H . (2010, August). Opendrive 2010 and beyond–status and future of the de facto standard for the description of road networks. In Proceedings of the Driving Simulation Conference Europe (pp. 231–242).

Ernst, D., Glavic, M., Capitanescu, F., & Wehenkel, L. (2008). Reinforcement learning versus model predictive control: A comparison on a power system problem. IEEE Transactions on Systems, Man, and Cybernetics, Part B (Cybernetics), 39(2), 517–529.

Feng, G., Saisai, H., Xipeng, L., & University, C. (2016). Study on development technologies of driver assistance systems based on machine vision using NI EVS and PXI. Foreign Electronic Measurement Technology, 35(02), 30–34, 41.

Greenshields, B. D., Bibbins, J. R., Channing, W. S., & Miller, H. H. (1935, December). A study of traffic capacity. In Highway Research Board Proceedings (Vol. 14, No. 1, pp. 448–477). Hou, D., Gao, L., Qian, Z., Li, Z., & Li, Y. (2021). Development and integration of vehicle model for heterogeneous traffic flow simulation platform based on SUMO. Journal of Tongji University (Natural Science), 49(7), 957–963.

Huang, X., Sun, J., & Sun, J. (2018). A car-following model considering asymmetric driving behavior based on long short-term memory neural networks. Transportation Research Part C: Emerging Technologies, 95, 346–362.

Karnchanachari, N., Valls, M. I., Hoeller, D., & Hutter, M. (2020, July). Practical reinforcement learning for MPC: Learning from sparse objectives in under an hour on a real robot. In Learning for Dynamics and Control (pp. 211–224). PMLR.

Kerner, B. S. (1998). Experimental features of self-organization in traffic flow. Physical Review Letters, 81(17), 3797.

Kerner, B. S. (1999). Congested traffic flow: Observations and theory. Transportation Research Record, 1678(1), 160–167.

Kerner, B. S. (2000). Experimental features of the emergence of moving jams in free traffic flow. Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and General, 33(26), L221.

Kerner, B. S. (2004). The Physics of Traffic: Empirical Freeway Pattern Features, Engineering Applications, and Theory. Springer Science & Business Media.

Kerner, B. S., & Rehborn, H. (1996). Experimental properties of complexity in traffic flow. Physical Review E, 53(5), R4275.

Kerner, B. S., & Rehborn, H. (1997). Experimental properties of phase transitions in traffic flow. Physical Review Letters, 79(20), 4030.

Koenig, N ., & Howard, A . (2004, September). Design and use paradigms for gazebo, an opensource multi-robot simulator. In 2004 IEEE/RSJ International Conference on Intelligent Robots and Systems (IROS) (IEEE Cat. No. 04CH37566) (Vol. 3, pp. 2149–2154). IEEE.

Kuefler, A., Morton, J., Wheeler, T., & Kochenderfer, M. (2017, June). Imitating driver behavior with generative adversarial networks. In 2017 IEEE Intelligent Vehicles Symposium (IV) (pp. 204–211). IEEE.

Li, T., Wu, J., Chan, C. Y., Liu, M., Zhu, C., Lu, W., & Hu, K. (2020). A cooperative lane change model for connected and automated vehicles. IEEE Access, 8, 54940–54951.

Lighthill, M. J. , & Whitham, G. B. (1955). *On kinematic waves II: A theory of traffic flow on long crowded roads* . Proceedings of the Royal Society A, 229, 317–345.

Lin, Y., McPhee, J., & Azad, N. L. (2020). Comparison of deep reinforcement learning and model predictive control for adaptive cruise control. IEEE Transactions on Intelligent Vehicles, 6(2), 221–231.

Liu, H., Kan, X. D., Shladover, S. E., Lu, X. Y., & Ferlis, R. E. (2018). Modeling impacts of cooperative adaptive cruise control on mixed traffic flow in multi-lane freeway facilities. Transportation Research Part C: Emerging Technologies, 95, 261–279.

Liu, P., Kurt, A., & Ozguner, U. (2018). Distributed model predictive control for cooperative and flexible vehicle platooning. IEEE Transactions on Control Systems Technology, 27(3), 1115–1128.

Liu, Y., Guo, J., Taplin, J., & Wang, Y. (2017). Characteristic analysis of mixed traffic flow of regular and autonomous vehicles using cellular automata. Journal of Advanced Transportation, 2017, Article 8142074.

Lu, H ., Wang, C ., & Lu, Y . (2014). Mechanisms and Countermeasures of Urban Traffic Congestion. China Architecture & Building Press.

Luo, F., Larson, J., & Munson, T. (2018). Coordinated platooning with multiple speeds. Transportation Research Part C: Emerging Technologies, 90, 213–225.

Luo, L. H., Liu, H., Li, P., & Wang, H. (2010). Model predictive control for adaptive cruise control with multi-objectives: comfort, fuel-economy, safety and car-following. Journal of Zhejiang University Science A, 11(3), 191–201.

Luo, Y ., Yang, G ., Xu, M ., Qin, Z ., & Li, K . (2019). Cooperative lane-change maneuver for multiple automated vehicles on a highway. Automotive Innovation, 2, 157–168.

Ma, L., & Qu, S. (2020). A sequence to sequence learning based car-following model for multistep predictions considering reaction delay. Transportation Research Part C: Emerging Technologies, 120, 102785.

Mathworks . (2023, April 6). Automated driving toolbox.

www.mathworks.com/products/automated-driving.html

MATSim . (2023, April 6). User documentation. www.matsim.org/docs/

Mechanical Simulation . (2023, April 6). CarSim. www.carsim.com/

Ni, J., Han, J., & Dong, F. (2020). Multivehicle cooperative lane change control strategy for intelligent connected vehicle. Journal of Advanced Transportation, 2020, 1–10.

Pan, F., & Bao, H. (2019, December). Reinforcement learning model with a reward function based on human driving characteristics. In 2019 15th International Conference on Computational Intelligence and Security (CIS) (pp. 225–229). IEEE.

Pipes, L. A. (1953). An operational analysis of traffic dynamics. Journal of Applied Physics, 24(3), 274–281.

PTV VISSIM . (n.d.). Ptvgroup.Com. www.ptvgroup.com/en/products/ptv-vissim

Quigley, M., Conley, K., Gerkey, B., Faust, J., Foote, T., Leibs, J., ... & Ng, A. Y. (2009, May). ROS: An open-source Robot Operating System. In ICRA Workshop on Open Source Software (Vol. 3, No. 3.2, p. 5).

Richards, P. I. (1956). Shock waves on the highway. Operations Research, 4(1), 42–51. Schönhof, M., & Helbing, D. (2007). Empirical features of congested traffic states and their implications for traffic modeling. Transportation Science, 41(2), 135–166.

Simcenter Prescan software simulation platform. (n.d.). Siemens Digital Industries Software. https://plm.sw.siemens.com/en-US/simcenter/autonomous-vehicle-solutions/prescan/

Song, Z . (2020). Adaptability Analysis on Micro-Simulation of High-Density Traffic Flow – A Comparative Study of Three Micro-Simulation Packages [Doctoral Dissertation]. Shanghai: Tongji University.

Streeting, S ., & Johnstone, B . (2010). OGRE – open source 3D graphics engine. OGRE, 26, 09–12. www.ogre3d.org/

SUMO Documentation . (n.d.). Dlr.De. https://sumo.dlr.de/docs/index.html

Sun, K., Zhao, X., & Wu, X. (2021). A cooperative lane change model for connected and autonomous vehicles on two lanes highway by considering the traffic efficiency on both lanes. Transportation Research Interdisciplinary Perspectives, 9, 100310.

Takahama, T., & Akasaka, D. (2018). Model predictive control approach to design practical adaptive cruise control for traffic jam. International Journal of Automotive Engineering, 9(3), 99–104.

Tanveer, M., Kashmiri, F. A., Naeem, H., Yan, H., Qi, X., Rizvi, S. M. A., ... & Lu, H. (2020). An assessment of age and gender characteristics of mixed traffic with autonomous and manual vehicles: A cellular automata approach. Sustainability, 12(7), 2922.

VTD . (n.d.). Virtual test drive. https://hexagon.com/products/virtual-test-drive

Wang, D. H., & Jin, S. (2012). Review and outlook of modeling of car following behavior. Zhongguo Gonglu Xuebao(China Journal of Highway and Transport), 25(1), 115–127.

Wang, D. H., Tao, P. F., Jin, S., & Ma, D. F. (2011). Method of calibrating and validating carfollowing model. Journal of Jilin University (Engineering and Technology Edition), 1, 59–65. Wang, X., Sun, P., & Zhang, X. (2020). Parameter calibration of highway following model based on natural driving data. Chinese Journal of Highway, 33(05), 132–142. Wegener, M., Koch, L., Eisenbarth, M., & Andert, J. (2021). Automated eco-driving in urban scenarios using deep reinforcement learning. Transportation Research Part C: Emerging Technologies, 126, 102967.

Yang, D., Zhu, L. L., Yu, D., Yang, F., & Pu, Y. (2014). An enhanced safe distance carfollowing model. Journal of Shanghai Jiaotong University (Science), 19, 115–122. Yang, Q. I., & Koutsopoulos, H. N. (1996). A microscopic traffic simulator for evaluation of dynamic traffic management systems. Transportation Research Part C: Emerging Technologies, 4(3), 113–129.

Zhang, J., & Guo, F. (2008). Research on ABS Control Algorithm Based on Model Reference Adaptive PID Control. Chinese Academy of Automotive Engineering Annual Meeting of 2008. Beijing: Chinese Academy of Automotive Engineering (pp. 1–3) (in Chinese).

Zhang, K., Chang, C., & Wang, S. (2024). Review of autonomous vehicle simulators: Capabilities, challenges, and development directions. Journal of Transportation Engineering and Information, 1–30. https://doi.org/10.19961/j.cnki.1672-4747.2023.04.007

Zhou, J., & Zhu, F. (2021). Analytical analysis of the effect of maximum platoon size of connected and automated vehicles. Transportation Research Part C: Emerging Technologies, 122, 102882.

Zhou, M., Qu, X., & Jin, S. (2016). On the impact of cooperative autonomous vehicles in improving freeway merging: A modified intelligent driver model-based approach. IEEE Transactions on Intelligent Transportation Systems, 18(6), 1422–1428.

Zhou, M., Qu, X., & Li, X. (2017). A recurrent neural network based microscopic car following model to predict traffic oscillation. Transportation Research Part C: Emerging Technologies, 84, 245–264.

Zhou, M., Yu, Y., & Qu, X. (2019). Development of an efficient driving strategy for connected and automated vehicles at signalized intersections: A reinforcement learning approach. IEEE Transactions on Intelligent Transportation Systems, 21(1), 433–443.

Zhou, Y. J. , Zhu, H. B. , Guo, M. M. , & Zhou, J. L. (2020). Impact of CACC vehicles' cooperative driving strategy on mixed four-lane highway traffic flow. Physica A: Statistical Mechanics and Its Applications, 540, 122721.

Zhu, B., Jiang, Y., Zhao, J., Chen, H., & Deng, W. W. (2019). A car-following control algorithm based on deed reinforcement learning. China Journal of Highway and Transport, 32(006), 53–60.

Zhu, M., Wang, Y., Pu, Z., Hu, J., Wang, X., & Ke, R. (2020). Safe, efficient, and comfortable velocity control based on reinforcement learning for autonomous driving. Transportation Research Part C: Emerging Technologies, 117, 102662.

Zou, Z ., & Yang, D . (2002). Lane transformation model in microscopic traffic simulation. China Highway Journal, 2, 108–111.

Safety of Mixed Traffic

Abdel-Aty, M ., Keller, J ., 2005. Exploring the overall and specific crash severity levels at signalized intersections. Accid. Anal. Prev. 37 3, 417–425.

Alambeigi, H., McDonald, A.D., Tankasala, S.R., 2020. Crash themes in automated vehicles: A topic modeling analysis of the California Department of Motor Vehicles automated vehicle crash database. arXiv Prepr. arXiv2001.11087.

Ali, Y ., Sharma, A ., Chen, D ., 2024. Investigating autonomous vehicle discretionary lanechanging execution behaviour: Similarities, differences, and insights from Waymo dataset. Anal. Methods Accid. Res. 42, 100332. doi:10.1016/j.amar.2024.100332

Arvin, R ., Khattak, A.J. , Kamrani, M ., Rio-Torres, J ., 2021. Safety evaluation of connected and automated vehicles in mixed traffic with conventional vehicles at intersections. J. Intell. Transp. Syst. 25 2, 170–187. doi:10.1080/15472450.2020.1834392

Ashraf, M.T. , Dey, K., Mishra, S., Rahman, M.T. , 2021. Extracting rules from autonomous-vehicle-involved crashes by applying decision tree and association rule methods. Transp. Res. Rec. J. Transp. Res. Board 2675 11, 522–533. doi:10.1177/03611981211018461

Banerjee, S.S., Jha, S., Cyriac, J., Kalbarczyk, Z.T., Iyer, R.K., 2018. Hands off the wheel in autonomous vehicles?: A systems perspective on over a million miles of field data, in: 2018 48th

Annual IEEE/IFIP International Conference on Dependable Systems and Networks (DSN). IEEE, pp. 586–597. doi:10.1109/DSN.2018.00066

Blanco, M., Atwood, J., Russell, S.M., Trimble, T.E., McClafferty, J.A., Perez, M.A., 2016. Automated Vehicle Crash Rate Comparison Using Naturalistic Data. Virginia Tech Transportation Institute.

Boggs, A.M. , Wali, B., Khattak, A.J. , 2020. Exploratory analysis of automated vehicle crashes in California: A text analytics & hierarchical Bayesian heterogeneity-based approach. Accid. Anal. Prev. 135, 105354. doi:10.1016/j.aap.2019.105354

Chen, H., Chen, H., Liu, Z., Sun, X., Zhou, R., 2020. Analysis of factors affecting the severity of automated vehicle crashes using XGBoost model combining POI data. J. Adv. Transp. 2020, 1–12. doi:10.1155/2020/8881545

Das, S., Dutta, A., Tsapakis, I., 2020. Automated vehicle collisions in California: Applying Bayesian latent class model. IATSS Res. 44 4, 300–308. doi:10.1016/j.iatssr.2020.03.001 Dey, D., Matviienko, A., Berger, M., Pfleging, B., Martens, M., Terken, J., 2021.

Communicating the intention of an automated vehicle to pedestrians: The contributions of eHMI and vehicle behavior. IT – Informat. Technol. 63 2, 123–141.

Dixit, V.V. , Chand, S., Nair, D.J. , 2016. Autonomous vehicles: Disengagements, accidents and reaction times. PLoS One 11 12, e0168054. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0168054

El-Hansali, Y ., Farrag, S ., Yasar, A ., Shakshuki, E ., Al-Abri, K ., 2021. Using surrogate measures to evaluate the safety of autonomous vehicles. Procedia Comput. Sci. 191, 151–159. doi:10.1016/j.procs.2021.07.020

Favarò, F.M., Nader, N., Eurich, S.O., Tripp, M., Varadaraju, N., 2017. Examining accident reports involving autonomous vehicles in California. PLoS One 12 9, e0184952. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0184952

Garg, M., Bouroche, M., 2023. Can connected autonomous vehicles improve mixed traffic safety without compromising efficiency in realistic scenarios? IEEE Trans. Intell. Transp. Syst. 24 6, 6674–6689. doi:10.1109/TITS.2023.3238889

Goodall, N ., 2024. Normalizing crash risk of partially automated vehicles under sparse data. J. Transp. Saf. Secur. 16 1, 1–17. doi:10.1080/19439962.2023.2178566

Goodall, N.J., 2021. Comparison of automated vehicle struck-from-behind crash rates with national rates using naturalistic data. Accid. Anal. Prev. 154, 106056. doi:10.1016/j.aap.2021.106056

Harding, J., Powell, G., Yoon, R., Fikentscher, J., Doyle, C., Sade, D., Lukuc, M., Simons, J., Wang, J., 2014. Vehicle-to-Vehicle Communications: Readiness of V2V Technology for Application. United States: National Highway Traffic Safety Administration.

Hu, X., Zheng, Z., Chen, D., Sun, J., 2023. Autonomous vehicle's impact on traffic: Empirical evidence from Waymo open dataset and implications from modelling. IEEE Trans. Intell. Transp. Syst. 24 6, 6711–6724. doi:10.1109/TITS.2023.3258145

Huang, C., He, D., Wen, X., Yan, S., 2023a. Beyond adaptive cruise control and lane centering control: Drivers' mental model of and trust in emerging ADAS technologies. Front. Psychol. 14. doi:10.3389/fpsyg.2023.1236062

Huang, C., Wang, A., Yan, S., He, D., 2024. Investigating the interrelationships among factors associated with automated vehicle crashes using additive Bayesian network. *Transp. Res. Rec. J. Transp. Res. Board.* doi:10.1177/03611981241274152

Huang, C., Wen, X., He, D., 2023b. Characteristics of rear-end collisions: A comparison between automated driving system-involved crashes and advanced driving assistance system-involved crashes. Transp. Res. Rec. J. Transp. Res. Board, 2678 7. doi:10.1177/03611981231209319

Huang, C., Wen, X., He, D., Jian, S., 2022. Sharing the road: How human drivers interact with autonomous vehicles on highways. Proc. Hum. Factors Ergon. Soc. Annu. Meet. 66 1, 1437–1441. doi:10.1177/1071181322661165

Jiang, Y., Ren, T., Ma, Y., Wu, Y., Yao, Z., 2023. Traffic safety evaluation of mixed traffic flow considering the maximum platoon size of connected automated vehicles. Phys. A Stat. Mech. Appl. 612, 128452. doi:10.1016/j.physa.2023.128452

Kibalama, D., Tulpule, P., Chen, B.-S., 2022. AV/ADAS Safety-Critical Testing Scenario Generation from Vehicle Crash Data. SAE Technical Paper.

Kockelman, K.M. , Kweon, Y.-J. , 2002. Driver injury severity: An application of ordered probit models. Accid. Anal. Prev. 34 3, 313–321.

Kutela, B., Avelar, R.E., Bansal, P., 2022a. Modeling automated vehicle crashes with a focus on vehicle at-fault, collision type, and injury outcome. J. Transp. Eng. Part A Syst. 148 6. doi:10.1061/JTEPBS.0000680

Kutela, B., Das, S., Dadashova, B., 2022b. Mining patterns of autonomous vehicle crashes involving vulnerable road users to understand the associated factors. Accid. Anal. Prev. 165, 106473. doi:10.1016/j.aap.2021.106473

Lee, H., Kang, M., Hwang, K., Yoon, Y., 2024. The typical AV accident scenarios in the urban area obtained by clustering and association rule mining of real-world accident reports. Heliyon 10 3, e25000. doi:10.1016/j.heliyon.2024.e25000

Lee, S., Arvin, R., Khattak, A.J., 2023. Advancing investigation of automated vehicle crashes using text analytics of crash narratives and Bayesian analysis. Accid. Anal. Prev. 181, 106932. doi:10.1016/j.aap.2022.106932

Li, T., Han, X., Ma, J., Ramos, M., Lee, C., 2023. Operational safety of automated and human driving in mixed traffic environments: A perspective of car-following behavior. Proc. Inst. Mech. Eng. Part O J. Risk Reliab. 237 2, 355–366. doi:10.1177/1748006X211050696

Liu, P., Guo, Y., Liu, P., Ding, H., Cao, J., Zhou, J., Feng, Z., 2024. What can we learn from the AV crashes? – An association rule analysis for identifying the contributing risky factors. Accid. Anal. Prev. 199, 107492. doi:10.1016/j.aap.2024.107492

Liu, Q., Wang, X., Wu, X., Glaser, Y., He, L., 2021. Crash comparison of autonomous and conventional vehicles using pre-crash scenario typology. Accid. Anal. PRev. 159, 106281. doi:10.1016/j.aap.2021.106281

Mahdinia, I., Mohammadnazar, A., Arvin, R., Khattak, A.J., 2021. Integration of automated vehicles in mixed traffic: Evaluating changes in performance of following human-driven vehicles. Accid. Anal. Prev. 152, 106006. doi:10.1016/j.aap.2021.106006

McCarthy, R.L., 2022. Autonomous vehicle accident data analysis: California OL 316 reports: 2015–2020. ASCE-ASME J Risk Uncert Engrg Sys Part B Mech Engrg 8 3. doi:10.1115/1.4051779

Morando, M.M., Tian, Q., Truong, L.T., Vu, H.L., 2018. Studying the safety impact of autonomous vehicles using simulation-based surrogate safety measures. J. Adv. Transp. 2018, 1–11. doi:10.1155/2018/6135183

Novat, N ., Kidando, E ., Kutela, B ., Kitali, A.E. , 2023. A comparative study of collision types between automated and conventional vehicles using Bayesian probabilistic inferences. J. Safety Res. 84, 251–260. doi:10.1016/j.jsr.2022.11.001

Papakostopoulos, V., Nathanael, D., Portouli, E., Amditis, A., 2021. Effect of external HMI for automated vehicles (AVs) on drivers' ability to infer the AV motion intention: A field experiment. Transp. Res. Part F Traffic Psychol. Behav. 8 2, 32–42.

Petrović, Đ., Mijailović, R., Pešić, D., 2020. Traffic accidents with autonomous vehicles: Type of collisions, manoeuvres and errors of conventional vehicles' drivers. Transp. Res. Procedia 45, 161–168. doi:10.1016/j.trpro.2020.03.003

Regev, S., Rolison, J.J., Moutari, S., 2018. Crash risk by driver age, gender, and time of day using a new exposure methodology. J. Safety Res. 66, 131–140. doi:10.1016/j.jsr.2018.07.002 Savolainen, P.T., Mannering, F.L., Lord, D., Quddus, M.A., 2011. The statistical analysis of highway crash-injury severities: A review and assessment of methodological alternatives. Accid. Anal. Prev. 43 5, 1666–1676.

Schoettle, B., Sivak, M., 2015. A Preliminary Analysis of Real-World Crashes Involving Self-Driving Vehicles. University of Michigan Transportation Research Institute.

Schwall, M ., Daniel, T ., Victor, T ., Favaro, F ., Hohnhold, H ., 2020. Waymo public road safety performance data. arXiv Prepr. arXiv2011.00038.

Sharma, O., Sahoo, N.C., Puhan, N.B., 2021. Recent advances in motion and behavior planning techniques for software architecture of autonomous vehicles: A state-of-the-art survey. Eng. Appl. Artif. Intell. 101, 104211.

Song, Y ., Chitturi, M.V. , Noyce, D.A. , 2021. Automated vehicle crash sequences: Patterns and potential uses in safety testing. Accid. Anal. Prev. 153, 106017. doi:10.1016/j.aap.2021.106017

Tafidis, P ., Pirdavani, A ., 2023. Application of surrogate safety measures in higher levels of automated vehicles simulation studies: A review of the state of the practice. Traffic Inj. Prev. 24 3, 279–286. doi:10.1080/15389588.2023.2176711

Tarko, A.P., 2018. Surrogate measures of safety, in: Safe Mobility: Challenges, Methodology and Solutions. Emerald Publishing Limited, pp. 383–405.

Teoh, E.R., Kidd, D.G., 2017. Rage against the machine? Google's self-driving cars versus human drivers. J. Safety Res. 63, 57–60. doi:10.1016/j.jsr.2017.08.008

Wang, C., Xie, Y., Huang, H., Liu, P., 2021. A review of surrogate safety measures and their applications in connected and automated vehicles safety modeling. Accid. Anal. Prev. 157, 106157. doi:10.1016/j.aap.2021.106157

Wang, S., Li, Z., 2019. Exploring the mechanism of crashes with automated vehicles using statistical modeling approaches. PLoS One 14 3, e0214550. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0214550 Wei, S., Shao, M., 2024. Existence of connected and autonomous vehicles in mixed traffic: Impacts on safety and environment. Traffic Inj. Prev. 25 3, 390–399. doi:10.1080/15389588.2023.2291337

Wen, X ., Cui, Z ., Jian, S ., 2022. Characterizing car-following behaviors of human drivers when following automated vehicles using the real-world dataset. Accid. Anal. Prev. 172, 106689. doi:10.1016/j.aap.2022.106689

Wen, X ., Huang, C ., Jian, S ., He, D ., 2023. Analysis of discretionary lane-changing behaviours of autonomous vehicles based on real-world data. Transp. A Transp. Sci. 1–24. Xu, C ., Ding, Z ., Wang, C ., Li, Z ., 2019. Statistical analysis of the patterns and characteristics of connected and autonomous vehicle involved crashes. J. Safety Res. 71, 41–47. doi:10.1016/j.jsr.2019.09.001

Yan, S., Huang, C., He, D., 2023a. A comparison of patterns and contributing factors of ADAS and ADS involved crashes. J. Transp. Saf. Secur. 1–28. doi:10.1080/19439962.2023.2284175 Yan, S., Huang, C., Xie, W., He, D., 2023b. HMI design for chain-braking event based on V2V communication, in: Adjunct Proceedings of the 15th International Conference on Automotive User Interfaces and Interactive Vehicular Applications. pp. 105–110.

Yang, Q., Koutsopoulos, H.N., 1996. A microscopic traffic simulator for evaluation of dynamic traffic management systems. Transp. Res. Part C Emerg. Technol. 4 3, 113–129. doi:10.1016/S0968-090X(96)00006-X

Yao, Z ., Hu, R ., Jiang, Y ., Xu, T ., 2020. Stability and safety evaluation of mixed traffic flow with connected automated vehicles on expressways. J. Safety Res. 75, 262–274. doi:10.1016/j.jsr.2020.09.012

Ye, L., Yamamoto, T., 2019. Evaluating the impact of connected and autonomous vehicles on traffic safety. Phys. A Stat. Mech. Appl. 526, 121009. doi:10.1016/j.physa.2019.04.245 Yu, H., Tak, S., Park, M., Yeo, H., 2019. Impact of autonomous-vehicle-only lanes in mixed traffic conditions. Transp. Res. Rec. J. Transp. Res. Board 2673 9, 430–439. doi:10.1177/0361198119847475

Zhao, X ., Wang, Z ., Xu, Z ., Wang, Y ., Li, X ., Qu, X ., 2020. Field experiments on longitudinal characteristics of human driver behavior following an autonomous vehicle. Transp. Res. Part C Emerg. Technol. 114, 205–224. doi:10.1016/j.trc.2020.02.018

Zheng, O., Abdel-Aty, M., Yue, L., Abdelraouf, A., Wang, Z., Mahmoud, N., 2024. CitySim: A drone-based vehicle trajectory dataset for safety-oriented research and digital twins. Transp. Res. Rec. J. Transp. Res. Board 2678 4, 606–621. doi:10.1177/03611981231185768

Environmental Impact of the Mixed Traffic and Countermeasures

Almannaa, M. H., Chen, H., Rakha, H. A., Loulizi, A., & El-Shawarby, I. (2019). Field implementation and testing of an automated eco-cooperative adaptive cruise control system in the vicinity of signalized intersections. Transportation Research Part D: Transport and Environment, 67, 244–262.

Atkins . (2016). Impacts of connected and autonomous vehicles on traffic flow: evidence review. Technical Report, Department for Transport and Centre for Connected, and Autonomous Vehicles.

Aziz, H. M. A., & Ukkusuri, S. V. (2012). Integration of environmental objectives in a system optimal dynamic traffic assignment model. Computer-Aided Civil and Infrastructure Engineering, 27(7), 494–511.

Bektaş, T., & Laporte, G. (2011). The pollution-routing problem. Transportation Research Part B: Methodological, 45(8), 1232–1250.

Center for Environmental Research and Technology . (2017). Comprehensive Modal Emission Model (CMEM). www.cert.ucr.edu/cmem/

Chen, X., Zhu, M., Chen, K., Wang, P., Lu, H., Zhong, H., Han, X., Wang, X., & Wang, Y. (2023). Follownet: A comprehensive benchmark for car-following behavior modeling. Scientific Data, 10(1), 828.

Chen, Y ., & Borken-Kleefeld, J . (2014). Real-driving emissions from cars and light commercial vehicles–Results from 13 years remote sensing at Zurich/CH. Atmospheric Environment, 88, 157–164.

Coelho, M. C., Fontes, T., Bandeira, J. M., Pereira, S. R., Tchepel, O., Dias, D., Sá, E., Amorim, J. H., & Borrego, C. (2014). Assessment of potential improvements on regional air quality modelling related with implementation of a detailed methodology for traffic emission estimation. Science of the Total Environment, 470, 127–137.

Colberg, C. A., Tona, B., Stahel, W. A., Meier, M., & Staehelin, J. (2005). Comparison of a road traffic emission model (HBEFA) with emissions derived from measurements in the Gubrist road tunnel, Switzerland. Atmospheric Environment, 39(26), 4703–4714.

de Eicker, M. O., Zah, R., Triviño, R., & Hurni, H. (2008). Spatial accuracy of a simplified disaggregation method for traffic emissions applied in seven mid-sized Chilean cities. Atmospheric Environment, 42(7), 1491–1502.

Di Pace, R., Fiori, C., Storani, F., de Luca, S., Liberto, C., & Valenti, G. (2022). Unified network tRaffic management frAmework for fully conNected and electric vehicles energy consumption optimization (URANO). Transportation Research Part C: Emerging Technologies, 144, 103860.

Djavadian, S., & Farooq, B. (2018). Distributed dynamic routing using network of intelligent intersections. *ITS Canada ACGM*, 2018, 22.

Djavadian, S., Tu, R., Farooq, B., & Hatzopoulou, M. (2020). Multi-objective eco-routing for dynamic control of connected & automated vehicles. Transportation Research Part D: Transport and Environment, 87, 102513.

Elbery, A., Rakha, H., ElNainay, M. Y., Drira, W., & Filali, F. (2016). Eco-routing: An ant colony based approach. International Conference on Vehicle Technology and Intelligent Transport Systems, 2, 31–38.

Fameli, K. M., & Assimakopoulos, V. D. (2015). Development of a road transport emission inventory for Greece and the Greater Athens Area: Effects of important parameters. Science of the Total Environment, 505, 770–786.

Frey, H. C., Zhang, K., & Rouphail, N. M. (2010). Vehicle-specific emissions modeling based upon on-road measurements. Environmental Science & Technology, 44(9), 3594–3600. Garcia-Castro, A., Monzon, A., Valdes, C., & Romana, M. (2017). Modeling different penetration rates of eco-driving in urban areas: Impacts on traffic flow and emissions. International Journal of Sustainable Transportation, 11(4), 282–294.

Goulet, N., & Ayalew, B. (2021). Distributed maneuver planning with connected and automated vehicles for boosting traffic efficiency. IEEE Transactions on Intelligent Transportation Systems, 23(8), 10887–10901.

Guo, C., Yang, B., Andersen, O., Jensen, C. S., & Torp, K. (2015). Ecosky: Reducing vehicular environmental impact through eco-routing. In 2015 IEEE 31st International Conference on Data Engineering (pp. 1412–1415). IEEE.

Guo, L., Huang, S., & Sadek, A. W. (2013). An evaluation of environmental benefits of timedependent green routing in the greater Buffalo–Niagara region. Journal of Intelligent Transportation Systems, 17(1), 18–30.

Hu, X ., Zheng, Z ., Chen, D ., & Sun, J . (2023). Autonomous vehicle's impact on traffic: empirical evidence from Waymo Open Dataset and implications from modelling. IEEE Transactions on Intelligent Transportation Systems, 24(6), 6711–6724.

Hu, X ., Zheng, Z ., Chen, D ., Zhang, X ., & Sun, J . (2022). Processing, assessing, and enhancing the Waymo autonomous vehicle open dataset for driving behavior research. Transportation Research Part C: Emerging Technologies, 134, 103490.

Hu, X ., Zheng, Z ., Zhang, X ., Chen, D ., & Sun, J . (2021). Vehicle trajectory data processed from the Waymo open dataset. Technical Report, Department of Traffic Engineering, Tongji University, Shanghai, China.

Jiang, Z., Yu, D., Luan, S., Zhou, H., & Meng, F. (2022). Integrating traffic signal optimization with vehicle microscopic control to reduce energy consumption in a connected and automated vehicles environment. Journal of Cleaner Production, 371, 133694.

Kawsar, S., Biswas, S., Noor, M., & Mamun, M. S. (2024). Investigating the applicability of COPERT 5.5 emission software in Bangladesh and developing countrywide vehicular emission inventories. Environmental Science: Atmospheres, 4(1), 57–72.

Kopelias, P., Demiridi, E., Vogiatzis, K., Skabardonis, A., & Zafiropoulou, V. (2020). Connected & autonomous vehicles–Environmental impacts – a review. Science of the Total Environment, 712, 135237.

Li, D., Zhu, F., Wu, J., Wong, Y. D., & Chen, T. (2024). Managing mixed traffic at signalized intersections: An adaptive signal control and CAV coordination system based on deep reinforcement learning. Expert Systems with Applications, 238, 121959.

Li, G., Jiao, Y., Knoop, V. L., Calvert, S. C., & van Lint, J. W. C. (2023). Large Car-following Data based on Lyft level-5 Open Dataset: Following autonomous vehicles vs. human-driven vehicles. ArXiv Preprint ArXiv:2305.18921.

Lin, Y., Tiwari, A., Fabien, B., Ban, X., & Devasia, S. (2023). Increasing traffic capacity of mixed traffic at signalized traffic intersections using delayed self-reinforcement. Transportation Research Part C: Emerging Technologies, 157, 104403.

Luo, J., Barth, M. J., & Boriboonsomsin, K. (2018). Vehicle routing to mitigate human exposure to traffic-related air pollutants. In 2018 21st International Conference on Intelligent Transportation Systems (ITSC) (pp. 2765–2770). IEEE.

Mahdinia, I., Mohammadnazar, A., Arvin, R., & Khattak, A. J. (2021). Integration of automated vehicles in mixed traffic: Evaluating changes in performance of following human-driven vehicles. Accident Analysis & Prevention, 152, 106006.

Pan, Y., Wu, Y., Xu, L., Xia, C., & Olson, D. L. (2024). The impacts of connected autonomous vehicles on mixed traffic flow: A comprehensive review. Physica A: Statistical Mechanics and Its Applications, 129454.

Progiou, A. G., & Ziomas, I. C. (2011). Road traffic emissions impact on air quality of the Greater Athens Area based on a 20 year emissions inventory. Science of the Total Environment, 410, 1–7.

Qin, Y ., Wang, H ., He, Z ., and Ran, B . (2020a). Fuel consumption analysis of automated driving traffic flow based on vehicle specific power. Journal of Transportation Systems Engineering and Information Technology, 20(1), 91.

Qin, Y., Wang, H., He, Z., and Ran, B. (2020b). Stability analysis of traffic flow for automated vehicles. Journal of Chongqing Jiaotong University of Science, 39(12):20–25.

Qu, X., Zhong, L., Zeng, Z., Tu, H., & Li, X. (2022). Automation and connectivity of electric vehicles: Energy boon or bane? Cell Reports Physical Science, 3(8).

Rakha, H., Ahn, K., & Trani, A. (2004). Development of VT-Micro model for estimating hot stabilized light duty vehicle and truck emissions. Transportation Research Part D: Transport and Environment, 9(1), 49–74.

Robinette, D., Kostreva, E., Krisztian, A., Lackey, A., Morgan, C., Orlando, J., & Rama, N. (2019). PHEV real world driving cycle energy and fuel and consumption reduction potential for connected and automated vehicles. Society of Automotive Engineers Technical Paper Series, 1. Shang, Y., Zhu, F., Jiang, R., Li, X., & Wang, S. (2024). Trajectory planning at a signalized road section in a mixed traffic environment considering lane-changing of CAVs and stochasticity of HDVs. Transportation Research Part C: Emerging Technologies, 158, 104441.

Stogios, C . (2018). Investigating the Effects of Automated Vehicle Driving Operations on Road Emissions and Traffic Performance. University of Toronto (Canada).

Tanvir, S . (2018). Modeling and Simulation of Driving Activity from an Energy Use-Emissions Perspective. North Carolina State University.

Tu, H., Zhao, L., Tu, R., & Li, H. (2024). The energy-saving effect of early-stage autonomous vehicles: A case study and recommendations in a metropolitan area. Energy, 297, 131274. Tu, R., Du, J., Rakha, H. A., & Yang, H. (2017). System-Wide Impacts of Arterial and Freeway Eco-Cooperative Adaptive Cruise Control.

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency . (2023). Overview of EPA's MOtor Vehicle Emission Simulator (MOVES4). https://nepis.epa.gov/Exe/ZyPDF.cgi?Dockey=P10186IV.pdf Venkat, A. N. , Rawlings, J. B. , & Wright, S. J. (2005). Stability and optimality of distributed model predictive control. In Proceedings of the 44th IEEE Conference on Decision and Control (pp. 6680–6685). IEEE.

Xu, H., Tu, R., Li, T., & Chen, H. (2023). Interpretable bus energy consumption model with minimal input variables considering powertrain types. Transportation Research Part D: Transport and Environment, 119, 103742.

Yao, Z ., Wang, Y ., Liu, B ., Zhao, B ., & Jiang, Y . (2021). Fuel consumption and transportation emissions evaluation of mixed traffic flow with connected automated vehicles and human-driven vehicles on expressway. Energy, 230, 120766.

Zhang, Y., Chen, X., Zhao, Y., Ma, J., & Yu, L. (2022). A methodology for measuring the environmental effect of autonomous bus considering platooning. Transportation Research Part D: Transport and Environment, 107, 103300.

Case Study

Al-Jameel, H. a. E. (2009). *Examining and improving the limitations of Gazis–Herman–Rothery car following model* . https://salford-repository.worktribe.com/output/1459924

Canziani, A., Paszke, A., & Culurciello, E. (2016). An analysis of deep neural network models for practical applications. *arXiv preprint*. arXiv:1605.07678.

Chai, C., Shi, X., Zhou, Z., Zeng, X., Yin, W., & Islam, Md. M. (2022). Driving style recognition based on naturalistic driving: Volatilities, decision-making, and safety performances. In A. Riener , M. Jeon , & I. Alvarez (Eds.), User Experience Design in the Era of Automated Driving (pp. 359–394). Springer International Publishing. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-77726-5_14

Creswell, A., White, T., Dumoulin, V., Arulkumaran, K., Sengupta, B., & Bharath, A. A. (2018). *Generative adversarial networks: An overview*. IEEE Signal Processing Magazine, 35(1), 53–65. https://doi.org/10.1109/MSP.2017.2765202

Derbel, O., Peter, T., Zebiri, H., Mourllion, B., & Basset, M. (2013). Modified Intelligent Driver Model for driver safety and traffic stability improvement. IFAC Proceedings Volumes, 46(21), 744–749. https://doi.org/10.3182/20130904-4-JP-2042.00132

Di, X ., & Shi, R . (2021). A survey on autonomous vehicle control in the era of mixed-autonomy: From physics-based to AI-guided driving policy learning. Transportation Research Part C: Emerging Technologies, 125, 103008. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.trc.2021.103008

Ettinger, S., Cheng, S., Caine, B., Liu, C., Zhao, H., Pradhan, S., Chai, Y., Sapp, B., Qi, C. R., Zhou, Y., Yang, Z., Chouard, A., Sun, P., Ngiam, J., Vasudevan, V., McCauley, A., Shlens, J., & Anguelov, D. (2021). *Large scale interactive motion forecasting for autonomous driving: The Waymo Open Motion Dataset*. 9710–9719.

https://openaccess.thecvf.com/content/ICCV2021/html/Ettinger_Large_Scale_Interactive_Motio n_Forecasting_for_Autonomous_Driving_The_Waymo_ICCV_2021_paper.html

Gong, H., Liu, H., & Wang, B.-H. (2008). An asymmetric full velocity difference car-following model. Physica A: Statistical Mechanics and Its Applications, 387(11), 2595–2602. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physa.2008.01.038

Ho, J., & Ermon, S. (2016). Generative adversarial imitation learning. Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems, 29.

https://proceedings.neurips.cc/paper_files/paper/2016/hash/cc7e2b878868cbae992d1fb743995 d8f-Abstract.html

Janssen, C. P., Donker, S. F., Brumby, D. P., & Kun, A. L. (2019). History and future of human–automation interaction. International Journal of Human-Computer Studies, 131, 99–107. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijhcs.2019.05.006

Hochreiter, S. (1997). *Long Short-term Memory. Neural Computation.* MIT-Press. Kaber, D. B., Stoll, N., Thurow, K., Green, R. S., Kim, S.-H., & Mosaly, P. (2009). Human–automation interaction strategies and models for life science applications. Human Factors and Ergonomics in Manufacturing & Service Industries, 19(6), 601–621. https://doi.org/10.1002/hfm.20156

Kamrani, M., Arvin, R., & Khattak, A. J. (2018). Extracting useful information from connected vehicle data: An empirical study of driving volatility measures and crash frequency at intersections. Transportation Research Record, 2672(38), 290–301.

Liu, Q ., Wang, X ., Wu, X ., Glaser, Y ., & He, L . (2021). Crash comparison of autonomous and conventional vehicles using pre-crash scenario typology. Accident Analysis & Prevention, 159, 106281. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aap.2021.106281

Mahdinia, I., Mohammadnazar, A., Arvin, R., & Khattak, A. J. (2021). Integration of automated vehicles in mixed traffic: Evaluating changes in performance of following human-driven vehicles. Accident Analysis & Prevention, 152, 106006. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aap.2021.106006 Naito, Y., & Nagatani, T. (2012). Effect of headway and velocity on safety–collision transition

induced by lane changing in traffic flow. Physica A: Statistical Mechanics and Its Applications, 391(4), 1626–1635. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physa.2011.09.020

Rakha, H., Pecker, C. C., & Cybis, H. B. B. (2007). Calibration procedure for Gipps carfollowing model. Transportation Research Record, 1999(1), 115–127. https://doi.org/10.3141/1999-13

Rios-Torres, J., Liu, J., & Khattak, A. (2019). Fuel consumption for various driving styles in conventional and hybrid electric vehicles: Integrating driving cycle predictions with fuel consumption optimization. International Journal of Sustainable Transportation, 13(2), 123–137. https://doi.org/10.1080/15568318.2018.1445321

SAE OnRoad Automated Vehicle Standards Committee . (2018). *SAE levels of driving Automation TM refined for clarity and international audience* . www.sae.org/site/blog/sae-j3016update

Sun, P., Kretzschmar, H., Dotiwalla, X., Chouard, A., Patnaik, V., Tsui, P., Guo, J., Zhou, Y., Chai, Y., Caine, B., Vasudevan, V., Han, W., Ngiam, J., Zhao, H., Timofeev, A., Ettinger, S., Krivokon, M., Gao, A., Joshi, A., ... Anguelov, D. (2020). *Scalability in perception for autonomous driving: Waymo Open Dataset*. 2446–2454.

https://openaccess.thecvf.com/content_CVPR_2020/html/Sun_Scalability_in_Perception_for_A utonomous_Driving_Waymo_Open_Dataset_CVPR_2020_paper.html

Vogel, K . (2003). A comparison of headway and time to collision as safety indicators. Accident Analysis & Prevention, 35(3), 427–433. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0001-4575(02)00022-2

Wei, H., Liu, X., Mashayekhy, L., & Decker, K. (2019). *Mixed-autonomy traffic control with proximal policy optimization*. In 2019 IEEE Vehicular Networking Conference (VNC) (pp. 1–8), Los Angeles, California. https://doi.org/10.1109/VNC48660.2019.9062809

Xu, Z., Yang, D., Tang, J., Tang, Y., Yuan, T., Wang, Y., & Xue, G. (2021). An actor–criticbased transfer learning framework for experience-driven networking. IEEE/ACM Transactions on Networking, 29(1), 360–371. https://doi.org/10.1109/TNET.2020.3037231

Zheng, F., Liu, C., Liu, X., Jabari, S. E., & Lu, L. (2020). Analyzing the impact of automated vehicles on uncertainty and stability of the mixed traffic flow. Transportation Research Part C: Emerging Technologies, 112, 203–219. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.trc.2020.01.017

Case Study

Lin, Z ., Zhou, C ., Rong, J ., Li, W ., & Li, Q . (2019). An analysis of acceleration-speed parameter threshold based on actual engineering measurement. Journal of Transport Information and Safety, (02), 107–113.

Shi, H., Zhou, Y., Wu, K., Wang, X., Lin, Y., & Ran, B. (2021). Connected automated vehicle cooperative control with a deep reinforcement learning approach in a mixed traffic environment. Transportation Research Part C: Emerging Technologies, 133, 103421.

Wang, L., & Horn, B. K. (2019). On the chain stability of bilateral control model. IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control, 65(8), 3397–3408.

Zhu, M., Wang, Y., Pu, Z., Hu, J., Wang, X., & Ke, R. (2020). Safe, efficient, and comfortable velocity control based on reinforcement learning for autonomous driving. Transportation Research Part C: Emerging Technologies, 117, 102662.

Case Study

Adebisi, A., Guo, Y., Schroeder, B., Ma, J., Cesme, B., Bibeka, A., & Morgan, A. (2022). Highway capacity manual capacity adjustment factor development for connected and automated traffic at signalized intersections. Journal of Transportation Engineering, Part A: Systems, 148(3), 04021121.

Adebisi, A., Liu, Y., Schroeder, B., Ma, J., Cesme, B., Jia, A., & Morgan, A. (2020). Developing highway capacity manual capacity adjustment factors for connected and automated traffic on freeway segments. Transportation Research Record, 2674(10), 401–415. California Energy Commission. (2017). California vehicle survey. Retrieved from:

www.energy.ca.gov/data-reports/surveys/california-vehicle-survey

Fagnant, D. J., & Kockelman, K., (2015). Preparing a nation for autonomous vehicles: Opportunities, barriers and policy recommendations. Transportation Research Part A: Policy and Practice, 77, 167–181.

He, B. Y., Jiang, Q., & Ma, J. (2022). Connected automated vehicle impacts in Southern California part-I: Travel behavior and demand analysis. Transportation Research Part D: Transport and Environment, 109, 103329.

Jiang, Q., He, B. Y., & Ma, J. (2022). Connected automated vehicle impacts in Southern California part-II: VMT, emissions, and equity. Transportation Research Part D: Transport and Environment, 109, 103381.

Ma, J., Li, X., Zhou, F., Hu, J., & Park, B. B., (2017). Parsimonious shooting heuristic for trajectory design of connected automated traffic part II: Computational issues and optimization. Transportation Research Part B: Methodological, 95, 421–441.

NHTSA . (n.d.). Automated vehicles for safety. Retrieve from: www.nhtsa.gov/technology-innovation/automated-vehicles-safety.

SCAG . (2020). 2016 Regional Travel Demand Model and Model Validation Report. Retrieve from https://scag.ca.gov/sites/main/les/le-

attachments/validationsummaryreport_20rtp_nal_2020_05.pdf?1659028273

Wang, J., Peeta, S., & He, X., (2019). Multiclass traffic assignment model for mixed traffic flow of human-driven vehicles and connected and autonomous vehicles. Transportation Research Part B: Methodological, 126, 139–168.